

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,717	03/04/2002	Shigeru Nakamura	H-1034	2183	
24956	4956 7590 12/15/2004		EXAMINER		
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.			ZARNEKE, DAVID A		
1800 DIAGO: SUITE 370	NAL ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IA. VA 22314		2829		

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    David A. Zameke   David			~···		lon				
Examiner   David A. Zameke   2829			Application No.	Applicant(s)	-				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ederalizes of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication.  If the period to reply specified done is less than thrify (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty, (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period to reply specified labors is less than thrify (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty, (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty, (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U. S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three mentals after the malling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 30 September 2004.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-12.14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5b) □ Claim(s) 1-12.14-16 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 1-12.14-16 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 1-12.14-16 is/are rejected.  7c) □ Claim(s) 1-13.14-16 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may				NAKAMURA ET AL.					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eletrations of size may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above, it is est han thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply spis about above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply spis appendix above, it has than thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply spis pack above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply spis peculiar or standed period for reply within the solid carbon the mailing date of this communication.  Palents to epily within the solid carbon the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce sary seared patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2004.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-12.14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-1.19 and 20 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-1.19 and 20 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-1.216 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-1.216 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1/24 is/are explained.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is obje			Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after \$ix (0) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply which the extended period in the period will apply and will expire \$ix (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the extended period to a state the mailing date of this communication, evan if timely filed, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, evan if timely filed, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, evan if timely filed, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, evan if timely filed, may reduce any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, evan if timely filed, may reduce any reduce any reply received by the Office later than the provided the mailing date of this communication, evan if timely filed, may reduce any reduce any reply received by the Office later than the provided the mailing date of this communication.  1)									
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the pendo for reply is appedited above is loss than thirty (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is appedited above is loss than thirty (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is appedited above is loss than thirty (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is appedited above is loss than thirty (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period to the document of the construction of the construction of the mailing date of this communication.  All provided by the Official size than three monthly will, by statute, cause the application, and replaced the communication, even if smely filed, may reduce any example and the communication, and the communication of the comm	Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
2a  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-12,14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reple of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
2a  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-12,14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Status								
2a  This action is FINAL. 2b  This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-12,14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30.5	entember 2004						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-12,14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	<u> </u>								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-12,14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	=	<i>,</i> —		nsecution as to the merits is					
A) Claim(s) 1-12,14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	٠,۵								
4) Claim(s) 1-12,14-17 and 19-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Dispositi			JO 0.0. 210.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 21-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	_								
5) ☐ Claim(s) 17,19 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		······································							
6) Claim(s) 8-12, 14-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	Applicati	ion Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage	10)	·							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>	11)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>	Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>		<u>_</u>	priority under 35 H S C & 110/a	\					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		)-(d) or (1).					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
application from the international bureau (FOT Naie 17.2(a)).		3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	* 5			ad .					
The second of th			and animal depict not receive	· <del></del>					
AMARKATA A	A44								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	_	• •	<b></b>						
1) Underview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) C) Other:	3) 🔲 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/04 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 8-12 and 14-16 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17, 19, and 20 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection of these claims has been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 8, 14, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroyuki et al., JP 2000188369 (figures 1 and 3), in view of Takiar et al., US Patent 5,422,435.

Hiroyuki (figure 1) teaches a device comprising:

- (a) preparing a wiring substrate (4) with electrodes thereon;
- (b) preparing a first semiconductor chip (1a) having a main surface, a back surface, a plurality of semiconductor devices created on said main surface of said first

semiconductor chip and a plurality of protruding electrodes created on said main surface of said first semiconductor chip;

- (c) preparing a second semiconductor chip (1b) having a main surface, a back surface, a plurality of semiconductor devices created on said main surface of said second semiconductor chip and a plurality of electrodes created on said main surface of said second semiconductor chip and having a thickness smaller than a thickness of said first semiconductor;
- (d) placing said first semiconductor chip on said main surface of said wiring substrate with said main surface of said first semiconductor chip facing to said main surface of said wiring substrate in such a way that said electrodes provided on said main surface of said first semiconductor chip face said respective electrodes provided on said main surface of said wiring substrate;
- (e) after said step (d), electrically connecting all of the electrodes simultaneously with corresponding electrodes of the wiring substrate while applying a pressure to said back surface of said first semiconductor chip;
- (f) after said step (e), placing said second semiconductor chip on said back surface of said first semiconductor chip so as to make said back surface of said second semiconductor chip face said back surface of said first semiconductor chip through an adhesive by applying a pressure smaller than said pressure applied in said step (e);
- (g) after said step (f), electrically connecting said electrodes created on said second semiconductor chip to said respective electrodes provided on said wiring substrate by using a plurality of wires, respectively; and

(h) forming a resin sealing body (5) for sealing said first semiconductor chip, said second semiconductor chip and said wires (Figure 3).

Hiroyuki (figure 1) fails to teach the electrodes on the first semiconductor chip that connect to the electrodes of the wiring substrate protrude from the surface of the chip and the first chip is attached to the wiring substrate through these protruding electrodes.

Hiroyuki (figure 3) shows and alternative method of attaching the first chip to the wiring substrate through the use of solder bumps (7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the solder bumps of Hiroyuki (figure 3) in the invention of Hiroyuki (figure 1) because the solder bumps of Hiroyuki (figure 3) are recognized equivalents to the wire bonds of Hiroyuki (figure 1). One would be motivated to perform this substitution because solder bumps are stronger, more reliable bond than wire bonds because the wire bonds can sweep or become detached during encapsulation.

The substitution of one known equivalent technique for another may be obvious even if the prior art does not expressly suggest the substitution (Ex parte Novak 16 USPQ 2d 2041 (BPAI 1989); In re Mostovych 144 USPQ 38 (CCPA 1964); In re Leshin 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960); Graver Tank & Manufacturing Co. V. Linde Air Products Co. 85 USPQ 328 (USSC 1950).

Hiroyuki fails to teach (1) the application of pressure to 1st chip so as to electrically connect it to the electrodes of wiring substrate and (2) the pressure applied to the 2nd chip being smaller than the pressure applied to the 1st chip.

Art Unit: 2829

Takiar teaches a stacked multi-chip module (figure 5) comprising providing a carrier member (152), such as a lead frame (5, 26+), or a substrate having leads thereon (8, 25+), on which a 1st chip (146) is pressure adhered, a 2nd chip (148) pressure adhered to the 1st chip using a pressure smaller than the pressure used to apply the 1st chip (10 34+).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the pressure bonding of Takiar as the method of bonding the chips in the invention of Hiroyuki because pressure bonding is a conventionally known in the art method of bonding chips or substrates.

The use of conventional materials to perform there known functions in a conventional process is obvious (In re Raner 134 USPQ 343 (CCPA 1962)).

Regarding claim 14, Hiroyuki teaches applying solder balls (6) to the back side of the wiring substrate (Figures).

With respect to claims 15 and 16, Takiar teaches applying a 3rd chip to the substrate and a 4th chip on top of the 3rd chip (Figure 10).

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroyuki et al., JP 2000188369 in view of Takiar et al., US Patent 5,422,435 as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Lau, Flip Chip Technologies 1996, McGraw-Hill, p. 302-303.

Hiroyuki and Takiar both fail to teach the use of heat along with pressure to bond chips and or substrates together.

Art Unit: 2829

Regarding claim 9, the application of heat along with pressure is a conventionally known in the art combination known to be used in the bonding of chips (Lau).

With respect to claim 10, Lau teaches that the application of heat cures the resin thereby fixing the chip to the substrate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to the heat and pressure combination of Lau in the invention of Hiroyuki and Takiar because it is a conventionally known method of bonding chips to substrate.

The use of conventional materials to perform there known functions in a conventional process is obvious (In re Raner 134 USPQ 343 (CCPA 1962)).

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroyuki et al., JP 2000188369, in view of Takiar et al., US Patent 5,422,435, as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Okazaki et al., US Patent 6,269,999.

Both Hiroyuki and Takiar fail to teach the use of ultrasonic/supersonic waves in the bonding of solder balls attached a chip to a substrate.

Okazaki teaches chip mounting using ultrasonic vibrations to bond a chip having balls mounted on its electrodes (abstract & Figures).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to the ultrasonic bonding of Okazaki in the invention of Hiroyuki and Takiar because it is a conventionally known method of bonding chips to substrates (1, 21+).

The use of conventional materials to perform there known functions in a conventional process is obvious (In re Raner 134 USPQ 343 (CCPA 1962)).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17, 19 and 20 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior art could not be located that taught arranging the chips in the claimed manner and then injecting resin concurrently from the plurality of resin injection entrances toward the second side surface of the cavity in order to seal and hold said first and second semiconductor chips.

This limitation, in conjunction with the other claim limitations, was neither disclosed in, nor suggested by, the prior art.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Zarneke whose telephone number is (571)-272-1937. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM-6 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Tokar can be reached on (571)-272-1812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,717

Art Unit: 2829

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David A. Zarneke

Primary Examiner December 9, 2004